

# Chapter 7

Preliminary Report on 2014  
Fieldwork in Southwest Saudi  
Arabia

by the DISPERSE Project: (1)  
Jizan and Asir Provinces



## Chapter 7

### Preliminary Report on 2014 Fieldwork in Southwest Saudi Arabia by the DISPERSE Project:

#### (1) Jizan and Asir Provinces

**Robyn H. Inglis<sup>1,6</sup> Anthony G.M. Sinclair<sup>2</sup> Andrew Shuttleworth<sup>2</sup>  
Abdarrazzack Al Maamary<sup>3</sup> William Budd<sup>2</sup> Niklas Hausmann<sup>1,7</sup> Matthew  
Meredith-Williams<sup>1,4</sup> Abdullah M. Alsharekh<sup>3</sup> Saud Al Ghamdi<sup>3</sup> and  
Geoffrey N. Bailey<sup>1,5</sup>**

<sup>1</sup> Department of Archaeology, University of York, The King's Manor, York,  
YO1 7EP, UK

<sup>2</sup> Department of Archaeology, Classics and Egyptology, 12 - 14 Abercromby  
Square, University of Liverpool, L69 7WZ, UK

<sup>3</sup> Department of Archaeology, King Saud University, P.O. Box 2627, Riyadh  
12372, Saudi Arabia

<sup>4</sup> Department of Archaeology and History, La Trobe University, Bundoora,  
VIC 3086, Australia

<sup>5</sup> College of Humanities, Arts and Social Sciences, Flinders University, GPO  
Box 2100, Adelaide, SA 5001, Australia

<sup>6</sup> Department of Environmental Sciences, Macquarie University, Sydney,  
NSW 2109, Australia

<sup>7</sup> Foundation for Research and Technology - Hellas, Institute of Electronic  
Structure and Laser (IESL), P.O. Box 1527, GR-711 10 Heraklion, Greece

## 7.1 Introduction: DISPERSE Survey in South West Saudi Arabia

Archaeological and geomorphological fieldwork was undertaken over eight weeks in January, February and March 2014 in the provinces of Jizan and Asir (Figure 7.1). The primary goals of the work were to:

- a) Survey for locations with early stone tools in order to expand the number of archaeological sites and the range of artefact material from the material observed in previous seasons (Bailey et al. 2012; Devès et al. 2012, 2013; Inglis et al. 2013, 2014) and;
- b) Identify geological deposits and sedimentary sections that might provide palaeoenvironmental and chronological context for the archaeological material.

As in previous seasons, survey focussed on a selection of areas, chosen because they have previously yielded evidence of early stone artefacts, or because they are areas identified in earlier survey work as having potentially attractive geological, geomorphological and topographic conditions for human occupation and the preservation and visibility of archaeological evidence.

In total, 40 localities were visited during the six weeks of active field survey, and Palaeolithic artefacts collected and recorded from the vast majority of these locations. Artefacts included those with Early (ESA), Middle (MSA) and Later Stone Age (LSA) affinities. 717 stone tools were observed and collected, and their location logged using GPS. Following the methodology established in the 2012 and 2013 surveys, all artefacts and locations of geological interest visited were given a unique Waypoint (WP) number with GPS coordinates. Waypoints were grouped by proximity into Localities (e.g. L0034). Preliminary analysis of the collected lithics was conducted over a week in late February in Sabiya Museum, Jizan Province. At the end of the season, the finds were archived in the museum stores. Artefacts recovered from Asir region will be retained in Sabiya Museum until the end of DISPERSE activities in the region (currently March 2015), after which they will be transferred to the SCTA offices in Abha in accordance with SCTA regulations.

In addition to the artefacts, samples for specialist palaeoenvironmental and dating analyses, such as Optically Stimulated Luminescence (OSL) and Argon/Argon

dating (Ar/Ar) were collected from a number of locations, and shipped back to the UK for specialist analysis.

## 7.2 Background

The investigation of the Palaeolithic of Saudi Arabia has seen two major periods of archaeological investigation. An initial understanding of the range of artefacts, their geographical spread, and their association with major geological features was produced through the work of the Comprehensive Survey of the Kingdom undertaken in the 1970s (e.g. Zarins et al. 1980, 1981). More recently, a considerable recent body of new work has focussed on the search for Palaeolithic sites in Saudi Arabia and more widely in the southern Arabian Peninsula, given its key geographical position between Africa and Eurasia, with the expectation that there should be an abundant distribution of early human occupation extending back to very early periods of the Stone Age (Armitage et al. 2011; Petraglia et al. 2011; Rose et al. 2011; Delagnes et al. 2012; Groucutt & Petraglia 2012).

It seems fair to say, however, that the record is still very patchy; chronological control is limited, and large areas still remain to be explored in detail. In the DISPERSE project, we have focused on the Southwest region of Saudi Arabia, because of its proximity to Africa, the likelihood of relatively easy population movement directly across the southern end of the Red Sea in the vicinity of the Hanish Sill (Lambeck et al. 2011), the probable importance of coastal regions in human dispersal, and the generally favourable environmental conditions for human occupation in the southern Red Sea escarpment and coastal plain throughout the climatic changes of the Pleistocene, including an extensive and now-submerged landscape extending for up to 100 km westward of the present coastline during periods of low sea level.

Our aim in DISPERSE is to undertake new archaeological and geomorphological survey in the region, combined with mapping techniques to reconstruct landscape evolution from the regional to the site scale, and to assess the relationship between Palaeolithic sites and their landscapes and the impact of physical landscape characteristics and environmental factors on the nature and distribution of early human settlement and dispersal. This work includes exploration of the now-submerged landscape, which is reported elsewhere

### 7.3 Methods

In previous field seasons, satellite imagery (LandsatGeoCover 2000/ETM+ Mosaics and imagery accessed through Google Earth imagery) and DEMs (ASTER GDM v2 and SRTM 90m v4.1) were used to map and classify landforms prior to the survey, with ground-truthing visits in May–June and November 2012 (Bailey et al. 2012; Devès et al. 2012, 2013; Inglis et al. 2013, 2014). Landforms were assessed for their potential for preserving surface Palaeolithic archaeology and the location of potentially artefact-bearing stratigraphy.

Pre-identified areas for ground survey are described here as localities, with individual numbers and descriptions. Within such localities, artefacts are almost always located by an individual waypoint GPS reference. In a smaller number of cases, the density and patterning of artefacts and sometimes their location within in situ deposits enables us to categorise the artefact cluster as a ‘site’. This is the case, for example, with the Dhahaban Quarry artefact cluster described in more detail below as the Dhahaban Quarry site.

In response to the findings of field survey in 2012 and 2013, the survey in 2014 focussed on:

- Consolidating understanding of the patterning of artefact distributions in areas of low sedimentation around volcanics, and extending on to areas of exposed basement rock, in southern Jizan.
- Exploration of quarries and wadi cuts in southern Jizan to search for sediments containing in situ artefacts stratified beneath the volcanic deposits.
- Survey of shoreline features, including fossil coral terraces and raised beaches along the Harrat Al Birk, western Asir Region.

A four-wheel drive vehicle was used to access target areas, with further exploration on foot. In the target areas the terrain was slowly traversed on foot by team members spaced at 5–10 m intervals walking along transects of up to 1 km distance, the distances and tracks varying according to local circumstances. Key geomorphological features for dating landscape evolution, such as raised beach terraces and sections in quarries, were also targeted for sampling and dating where appropriate, and accessible sections were examined for the presence of artefacts visible in situ. As in previous years, all artefacts collected by the survey have been bagged in a re-sealable polythene bag and labelled by date of collection, GPS co-ordinates

or GPS waypoint number, a brief description of the artefacts and the initials of the collector. Following collection in the field, all artefacts were cleaned, photographs were taken of their upper and lower surfaces, and the artefacts described in greater detail in terms of their form and technology. A master spreadsheet keeps a running record of the survey finds, their locations and their descriptions.

At one site, Dhahaban Quarry, (L0034), a Leica TS407 total station, rather than a handheld GPS device, was used to record the precise locations of surface and stratified artefacts, as well as major geomorphological units. Coupled with high-resolution 3D photogrammetry of the artefact-bearing section at this site, this detail will allow the full understanding of this significant stratified site (see Section 2.3.2).

In the sections that follow, many of the artefacts are described as being Early Stone Age / Lower Palaeolithic (hereafter ESA), or Middle Stone Age / Middle Palaeolithic (hereafter MSA) or Later Stone Age / Upper Palaeolithic (hereafter LSA) in character. These descriptions derive from the typological assessment of the artefacts after collection. In brief, ESA artefacts usually take the form of flake or core based pieces (such as handaxes or cleavers) or simple or discoidal flake cores. They were usually made by using a hard stone hammer. MSA artefacts include prepared cores and their manufacturing debris (such as radial or convergent Levallois, Nubian Levallois and some flake-blade forms) as well as the flakes from such cores that may be radial, convergent or blade-like in form. MSA artefacts sometimes show evidence of use of a soft hammer in their production. LSA artefacts include pieces made on blade cores, often with clear retouch to create tools to a predetermined form. LSA artefacts are more difficult to identify and characterise, since very few artefacts have been located and reported previously, and it is now accepted that a number of artefacts forms (such as piercers and burins) were also manufactured during the Middle Stone Age / Middle Palaeolithic.

The typological description of artefacts as being ESA, MSA or LSA in form only permits a very broad understanding of the age of the pieces when there is no detailed dating of the deposits in which such materials are found, or under which they lie. In East Africa the very oldest stone tools now date back to 2.4Mya in Ethiopia, with the first hand axes dating from 1.8Mya. Middle Stone Age, prepared cores and flakes have usually been accepted as dating between 300Kya and approximately 60/50Kya (Barham and Mitchell 2008). In the last two years, new dates from southern Africa suggest that the Middle Stone Age might start from 500 Ma (e.g. Wilkins & Chazan 2012). It is reasonable to assume that the first

modern humans crossing into the Arabian Peninsula would have brought and made tools following an MSA technology.

## 7.4 2014 Survey Results

### 7.4.1 Jizan Province

#### 7.4.1.1 Jebel Akwah and Wadi Sabiya

##### **Jebel Akwah, Northern Cinder Cone**

The twin cinder cones of Jebel Akwah are marked topographic features in the otherwise flat coastal plain that is covered by Quaternary sedimentation, rising to ~60 m. Currently, their eruption is thought to have taken place at  $0.44 \pm 0.26$  mya although these dates are problematic (K/Ar, Dabbagh et al. 1984).

In 2013, ground survey of the northern cinder cone yielded 23 lithics at three localities (L0013-15, Figure 7.2), with artefacts showing signs of ESA technological forms and MSA forms made on local basalt and andesite (Inglis et al. 2013, 2014). Survey in 2014 was designed to complete a representative survey across the lava flows surrounding the cinder cone to identify any spatial patterning in artefact deposition and the relationship of this patterning to the geomorphological units present on and around the flows (Figure 7.2).

The following localities were visited in 2014:

- L0061 – aeolian-derived sediments overlying the central area of the lava flow to the W of the collapsed cinder cone. Ceramic sherds and rounded pebbles of varying lithologies were observed on the surface, along with one indurated shale LSA flake, as well as a non-diagnostic bi-truncated andesite flake, and quartz clasts and natural flakes.
- L0062 – colluvial slopes on the western edge of the cinder cone, incorporating a mixture of aeolian-derived sediments and scoria from the cinder cone reworked by slopewash. The few artefacts observed on the surface, including quartz bladelets, an andesite burin spall and a shale-backed blade appear to be LSA or later in date.
- L0063 – surface of lava flow to SW of cinder cone. As well as an quartz notch/endscraper (LSA), a basalt core that appears to be in the early stages of working for an MSA prepared core was observed on the lava surface, along



with a number of small undiagnostic quartz flakes.

- L0077 – an area of lava flow on the SE edge of the main flows. Two transects were walked, 1 km each, but only a single, unretouched quartz flake was recovered. Two samples of basalt, <503> and <504> were collected for Ar/Ar dating.

It is clear that, on Jebel Akwah and its surrounding flows, visibility of surface Palaeolithic artefacts is restricted to areas where there is little or no sedimentation on the lava flows surrounding the cinder cone. Yet, in areas surveyed in 2014 where the surface of the lava flow was exposed, there appear to be very few lithics of Palaeolithic age, and none were observed stratified in the small gullies at L0062 that cut through colluvial deposits. This is in contrast to the 2013 observations, where ESA and MSA artefacts were found, albeit in low density, around the outer edges of the lava flows. This patterning may reflect a preferential usage of the edges of the lava flow by Palaeolithic populations, yet given the small sample size, robust interpretation of the patterning is difficult. It is, however, clear that the Jebel Akwah does not appear to have been a major area for tool manufacture or activity during the Palaeolithic, unlike localities observed on lava flows around other volcanoes in the region such as L0010, Jebel Umm Al Qummam (Inglis et al. 2013, 2014).

### **Wadi Sabiya**

To the south of Jebel Akwah, Wadi Sabiya and its tributaries incise wadi floodplain sediments up to 10 hm below the surrounding landscape, a process continued by extensive and ongoing quarrying (Figure 7.3). These deposits are capped by a volcanic tuff that extends for kilometres across the surrounding area, and is related to the eruption of Jebel Akwah (Dabbagh et al. 1984). This tuff is itself overlain by further alluvial deposits, consisting of reddish sandy silt and well-rolled cobbles of mixed lithology.

The area of quarrying to the south of Jebel Akwah was investigated at two localities - L0020 and L0066. L0020 comprises an area where the upper surface of the tuff has been exposed by natural erosion over a wide area, erosion that is also incising the tuff and underlying sediments through a network of dendritic drainage gullies. To the west and south of the locality, quarrying has exposed deep sections through these sediments. Artefacts recovered from the surface of the tuff and the gullies appear to be LSA or later in date, primarily of quartz bladelets and two

chert flakes.

In the area affected by quarrying, one quarry section has, at its base, exposed a cross section through a wadi channel and its associated gravel and coral terraces (Figure 7.4). Within one of these terraces a worked shale clast, with three long, blade removals (potentially the result of soft-hammer percussion, indicative of an MSA technology) was found in situ (Figure 7.5). Manufacture and deposition of the clast should pre-date the eruption of the volcanoes that produced the volcanic tuff that caps the section, and therefore the artefact is potentially over  $0.44 \pm 0.26$  mya old. If this date and the typological assessment are correct, then this artefact is the earliest dated evidence for the MSA in Arabia. Given the problems associated with this dating (Dabbagh et al. 1984), new samples were removed for dating from the section:

- Ar/Ar – two samples of volcanic tuff, <501> from the top of the quarried section, and <502> from an exposure of the tuff c. 15m to the East of the section where the tuff was more consolidated. These will provide a minimum age for the deposition of the artefact bearing units as well as a date for the eruption of Jebel Akwah.
- OSL – three samples (<509>, <534>, <683>) were taken from the fill of the channel that incised the terraces to provide a minimum age for the wadi terraces containing the worked clast.

Given the potential for stratified deposits, the under-tuff sediments were further explored to the SW of L0020, where quarrying has produced sections through wadi floodplain deposits that continue for over 1 km. Here, no convincingly worked clasts were observed from the sections, yet a sharp convergent blade made on andesite was recovered from scree at the base of a section, and had probably eroded from sediments above the tuff given its good preservation.

To complete the investigation of the area around Wadi Sabiya and Jebel Akwah, two localities were visited at the edges of the lava flows that extend south from the southern cinder cone (Figure 7.3). At L0084, where the road cuts through the lava flow, samples of basalt were removed for Ar/Ar dating (<506>, <507>, <508>). No artefacts were observed here, or on the lava flow further to the south at L0085. At the latter location, the presence of rolled pebble and gravel and sedimentation on top of the lava flow indicates that the flow may have been buried at some point in the past by fluvial processes, with incision to the present level of Wadi Sabiya

occurring later.

The Jebel Akwah/Wadi Sabiya area has been a particular focus in the 2013 and 2014 seasons due to the jebel's topographic prominence and the presence of stratified, accessible deposits in Wadi Sabiya. These surveys have identified potential spatial patterning around the northern cinder cone, with a potential concentration of artefacts towards the edges of the lava flows, which could reflect hominin preferential use of these areas. This distribution, however, is clouded by taphonomic issues and a small sample size. The location of a stratified artefact in the pre-tuff sediments has the potential to be a find of major importance in the history of occupation of the Arabian Peninsula, and these sections and quarries should be explored further to locate any other surviving finds from this period.

#### 7.4.1.2 Wadi Jizan Lava Flows and Dam Lake Area

The 2014 survey in the area around the Wadi Jizan Dam Lake, extending West across the lava flows to the East of Abu Arish, and East, to the foothills of the escarpment across the large areas of exposed schistic basement above the dam had the following aims:

- To explore the wadi floodplain deposits under the lava flows –the flows are dated locally to  $0.8\pm 0.3$ mya (K/Ar, Dabbagh et al. 1984) – exposed in Wadi Jizan and its tributaries downstream of the dam lake for the possibility of locating stratified artefacts (Devès et al. 2012, 2013).
- To clarify spatial patterning of surface artefacts observed in 2013 that appeared to indicate concentrations of artefacts in locations where there was access to good raw material, water and/or good views over the surrounding landscape (Inglis et al. 2013, 2014).

#### Deposits under the Lava Flows

L0064 is a quarry adjacent to a small tributary of Wadi Jizan that joins the main wadi c. 500 m to the West. The red sandy sediments preserved beneath a lava flow are exposed along a section of over 200 m, at their deepest point up to 6m thick (Figure 7.7). During the inspection of these sediments, a single discoidal flake on reddish coarse chert was observed protruding from the section, along the orientation of a very thin gravel stone line in otherwise fine-grained sediments exposed in the quarry section (Figure 7.8). No other in situ artefacts were observed, despite small test excavations into the sediments at a location 200 m further East, where

the sediments were more safely accessible. A sample of basalt (<500>) was taken from the overlying lava flow for Ar/Ar dating in order to provide a minimum age for the deposits and the artefact.

In addition to the single stratified artefact, over 50 artefacts were recovered from an area of a few km<sup>2</sup> on the surface of the lava flow on both sides of the tributary and on the scree at the base of the quarry sections. These artefacts represent all periods, from a potential ESA handaxe roughout on coarse yellow chert/fine sandstone and a retouched basalt clast, to LSA chert and quartz bladelets and flakes including an endscraper and possible backed knife, as well as a ceramic sherd. The MSA is represented by flakes and cores on basalt, quartz and the yellow chert/sandstone that outcrops locally on the North side of the wadi beneath the lava.

Two more localities, L0067 and L0068, ~2 km downstream of L0064 were visited, also to inspect the sediments under the lava exposed by quarrying, as well as the surrounding lava surfaces. No artefacts were observed at L0068, but at L0067, MSA and LSA artefacts in the form of flakes on chert, basalt and andesite were collected, as well as an MSA prepared core fragment on basalt, on the surface of the lava flow and on scree slopes at the base of quarry sections through the lava. In addition, a basalt ESA cleaver was recovered from the surface in the base of one of these quarries. Whilst the find was unstratified, the cleaver had a reddish patina consistent with burial in the surrounding sediments, and it appears to have been heated, potentially indicating that it may have been buried close to the land surface in the red sediments when the lava flowed over it. Taken with the L0064 in situ flake, this find indicates potential for locating artefacts within the deposits that make up the pre-lava landscape in the area, as in Wadi Sabiya. Given the complexity of the flows in this area, it is difficult to assess whether or not the date of  $0.8 \pm 0.3$ mya (K/Ar, Dabbagh et al. 1984) on basalt from a flow in Wadi Jizan can be correlated to these specific deposits, but this find does indicate a potentially very early dateable occupation of the Arabian Peninsula.

### **Lava Flows**

At L0006, on a lava flow above the Wadi Jizan Dam lake, a scatter of lithics was observed in 2013 (Inglis et al. 2013, 2014), and was revisited in 2014 in order to determine the extent of the artefact scatter. The assemblage from this area, which extends around 1 km by 500 m, has yielded over 80 individual artefacts, with MSA (including a possible point from a prepared core - Figure 7.9) and LSA affinities on cherts, basalt, andesite and quartz.

The assemblage from L0006 marks a relative abundance of artefact when compared to other localities in the lava flows, both from 2013 and 2014 surveys. During 2014, the following further localities were surveyed:

- At L0071, atop the lava flow terrace overlooking Wadi Jizan downstream of the dam lake, 13 artefacts were observed along a 1 km transect. Manufactured on basalt and andesite, they include an MSA flake and prepared core.
- At L0079, close to the centre of the lava flows, three flakes, on quartzite, basalt and andesite (the latter a medial flake blade section, probably MSA) represent the only artefacts from a 1 km transect.

#### Schists and Quartzites East of the Magmatic Line

In order to expand the survey into areas of landscape with underlying lithologies other than that of basalt lava flows, the 2014 survey visited a number of locations on the exposed basement rock to the East of the Magmatic Line. These areas were targeted due to their relatively low sediment cover in places, unlike the southern part of the upper coastal plain that is covered by sedimentation and extensively cultivated (Devès et al. 2012, 2013). These areas of exposed schistic and quartzite bedrock are far more susceptible to erosion, however, and therefore may present a slightly more unstable taphonomic setting than that of the lava flows due to the ongoing erosion of the landscape (Figure 7.10).

In general, the number of artefacts observed on the schist areas was very low across all areas:

- In the foothills of the escarpment, four locations were visited (L0060 and L0081-83), but no artefacts were observed.
- L0070 – 2 km to the south of L0006, no artefacts were observed on the slopes of a schist jebel overlooking the Wadi Jizan Dam area, despite being in a similar landscape setting to L0006 on the lava flow above the Wadi Jizan Dam.
- L0069 – to the East of the Wadi Jizan Dam lake, this locality yielded two undiagnostic flakes, on basalt and quartz.
- L0080 – to the north of the dam lake, where a wadi tributary flows through the foothills of the magmatic line, simple quartzite flakes were observed on the eroding jebel slopes (Figure 7.11). Little other material was observed on the exposed bedrock, yet on the surface of more recent alluvium at the point at which the wadi flowed through the jebels, five sharp chert flakes were

recovered, along with a broken pressure-flaked Neolithic chert point. This is consistent with the hypothesis that the deposition of the alluvium dates to the Holocene.

In summary, it appears that the areas of exposed basement rock to the East of the lava flows contain far less surface archaeology than the lava flows. Whether this is a taphonomic issue related to the erosion of the schists constituting a far more geomorphologically dynamic landscape, or reflects a true preference for basalts, either through the raw material they provide or for strategic reasons of prey location by hominins, must be explored and discussed further.

### **As Shugayri Lava Flow**

To complete the spatial coverage of the lava flow area, the northernmost edge of the Abu Arish lava flows was visited along the length of a flow of lava that flowed through a gap in the Magmatic Line formed by Wadi Damad. From NE to SW, the following localities were visited:

- L0072 – an alluvial terrace above Wadi Damad visited in 2012 where a rolled basalt endscraper was observed (Devès et al. 2012, 2013). In 2014, two cores, one a prepared core on andesite/basalt, potentially exhibiting Nubian Levallois type 1/2 preparation (c.f. Crassard & Hilbert 2013) and the other a single platform core on coarse chert/sandstone were observed, along with two coarse chert/sandstone flakes. Given that this alluvium would be expected to be Holocene, finding Palaeolithic material on these deposits is quite surprising, yet may represent material being reworked for further upstream in wadi deposits.
- L0073 – the Magmatic Line jebels above the breakthrough of Wadi Damad were visited, yet only two flakes, on basalt and chert, were observed. The basalt flake was potentially ESA in origin.
- L0076 – on the south bank of the break in the Magmatic Line, the deeply incised (up to 30 m) wadi terrace is eroded into the lava flow. No artefacts were observed on its flat top but two possible prepared cores, on andesite and shale, were collected from the alluvial terrace on the North bank, although they could have been re-deposited.
- L0075 – an area of quarrying into the lava flow, exposing sections of between 5-7 m of sediments below the lava flow was visited, but no artefacts were

observed on the lava surface or in the sections.

- L0074 - the surface of the lava flow, at its distal end, was investigated, and yielded 25 artefacts of ESA, MSA and LSA affinities on basalt, chert and quartzite. The lava surface has been removed in some areas by small-scale quarrying activity revealing the sandy sediment beneath. In one of these small quarries, a rolled handaxe of unknown material (potentially a weathered quartzite or sandstone) was observed on the floor of the quarry, and was coated in the sandy sediments, potentially indicating that it had been buried prior to quarrying. A sample of basalt, <505>, was taken from a nearby location in order to date the lava flow using Ar/Ar dating.

L0074 represents the only area on the lava flow that has yielded a significant number of artefacts, but appears to represent low-density occupation over a long time period, some of which may date from before the emplacement of the lava. This indicates that the working hypothesis of a hominin preference for raw material and good views over water, and the surrounding areas (Inglis et al. 2013, 2014), may not be completely uniformly applied.

### 7.4.1.3 Jizan Shell Midden

At L0065, a deflated shell mound was observed on a sand dune in close proximity to the present coastline and immediately to the North of Jizan's northern edge. Alongside the heavily weathered predominantly *Conomurex* sp. shells, pottery sherds and quartz flakes were also present. Identification of a shell midden on the mainland coast was unexpected given that palaeoshorelines have been largely covered by Holocene sedimentation, and indicates the potential for the discovery of to be further shell mounds along the coast that will mirror those found in abundance on the Farasan Islands (Bailey et al. 2013)

## 7.4.2 Asir Region

### 7.4.2.1 Harrat Al Birk Coastal Deposits

Along the coastline of the Harrat Al Birk there are numerous fossil coral terraces and raised beach deposits that provide the opportunity to examine the potential hominin use of the coastal zone during the Palaeolithic. A number of locations along the present-day coastline were visited between Al Qahmah and Markaz As Shurtah where these deposits were observed overlying lava flows (Figure 7.14).

From South to North, the localities visited were:

- L0088 and L0089 – a volcanic jebel rises out of the coastal plain to the West of the coast road, and was investigated on its southern side and top (L0088), as well as the foot of its western slopes (L0089). No artefacts were recovered from the slopes of the jebel itself, L0088, save for a discoidal andesite core from the very top of the jebel. At L0089, an 800 m section of fossil beach deposits and associated coral terraces was investigated (Figure 7.15). A total of 13 artefacts with MSA affinities, manufactured on basalt quartz and andesite, was collected from the surface of these deposits, including prepared cores and points (Figure 7.16).
- L0091 – an area of coral terrace overlying a lava flow, 500 m SE of the Dhahaban Quarry deposits (see below), yielded only two surface artefacts, a potential ESA handaxe roughout on basalt and a rolled basalt clast with retouch.
- L0034 – Dhahaban Quarry – a complex of beach deposits, coral terraces and associated artefacts (see Section 2.3.2 below).
- L0092 – a 1 km long exposure of coral terraces and beach deposits, 1km N of Wadi Dhahaban. Survey of the exposure yielded eight lithics with MSA affinities, including basalt cores and a convergent flake (Figure 7.17).
- L0090 – a remnant of a coral terrace adjacent to the modern beach at the end of the headland that extends from the centre of Al Birk yielded a single MSA prepared core preform.
- L0093 – a small coral terrace close to a sabkha inlet immediately east of the coast road 2 km North of Al Birk town centre. An MSA single platform basalt core was observed on the terrace.
- L0078 – a shell scatter (L0078), on a coral terrace at the present day shoreline, dated to  $5560 \pm 70$  BP (Beta.-191460) in 2004 (Bailey et al. 2007) was revisited. A small area of the terrace is preserved, despite recent bulldozing for the construction of the coastal road. The scatter, extending for about 30 m and probably truncated by erosion of the coral terrace by the sea, was investigated through the digging of a small test pit measuring 50x50 cm. A sample of shell was taken from the pit for further analysis and dating. In addition, six basalt and andesite artefacts were collected from the surface of the terrace, including MSA prepared cores and prepared core flakes.



In addition to the locations with preserved coral terraces, a location on a palaeoshoreline 5 km inland was visited at the point at which two wadis converged and flowed into the sea (L0087). This inlet is marked by a semi-circular area of eroded lava covered by marine sedimentation which extends for over 10 km along the coast. At >30 m asl the palaeoshoreline here is probably of quite deep antiquity; it is far higher than estimates of the Last Interglacial high sea stand (Lambeck et al. 2011). Navigation in the lava field around this area was very difficult, and identification of the shoreline was unclear on the ground. A total of 18 lithics was recovered from the low undulating basalt jebels in this area, with both MSA and ESA affinities, and some potential later pieces, on andesite and basalt.

#### **7.4.2.2 Dhahaban Quarry (L0034)**

Following the discovery of lithics embedded within deposits at L0034, Dhahaban Quarry in 2013 (Inglis et al. 2013, 2014), 11 days were spent in 2014 recording the site and its surrounding geomorphological units in order to place the artefacts in their full sedimentological context and to collect material for dating (Figure 7.19).

The following objectives were achieved in 2014:

- Further inspection and topographic survey (using a total station) of all major geomorphological units and landforms in the quarry area (Figure 7.20a).
- Detailed recording (using a total station) and collection of artefacts from unbulldozed surfaces around the quarry and surrounding lava flows as well as recording and removal of the lithics embedded within the wadi sediments. The assemblage from Dhahaban Quarry now numbers over 700 artefacts, 19 of which were recovered embedded in stratified contexts.
- Detailed photography of the sections containing embedded lithics to allow 3D graphical reconstructions of the exposure using high-resolution photogrammetry (Figure 7.21).
- Sampling of the marine section for OSL dating – four samples were removed, <625>, <635>, <645> and <655>, and will be submitted to SUERC for dating in May 2014 (Figure 7.20b).

Sampling of coral and beach rock was also undertaken for assessment of their suitability for other dating methods, such as Amino Acid Racemisation and Uranium-Series dating. The deposits observed at Dhahaban Quarry appear to represent a beach complex, marking a high sea stand, potentially (given its height of c. +8m asl) dating to as early as MIS5 (Lambeck et al. 2011). This beach complex appears to overlie the cobble unit that contains the lithics. These lithics are sharp, and do not appear to have been reworked by later wadi activity. It therefore seems likely that they were deposited within the wadi relatively close to where they were located. The relationship of the wadi cobble unit to the beach deposits is currently being clarified, yet the presence of coral cobbles within the lower parts of the unit suggests that the wadi may have been flowing into the sea at the time of deposition, rather than being associated with beach deposits merely as a result of later inundation by a marine transgression. Aside from this relationship, the location of a stratified assemblage marks a highly significant contribution to our understanding of the timing and conditions of the occupation of the Arabian Peninsula.

The lithic assemblage from the Dhahaban Quarry site includes a small number of ESA artefacts (approximately 10 in total), located in the southernmost part of the site, as well as an extensive range of MSA pieces located in the centre and northern part. The ESA pieces include a clear biface, and an example of a trimming flake suggesting some maintenance of the piece at the site. The manufacturing debris of ESA age is rare, suggesting that hominins engaged in little primary working of stone at this location. By way of contrast, the MSA pieces are sufficient in number to include a range of recognisable tool forms, including piercers, scrapers and burins. MSA artefacts are extensively spread across the full extent of the Dhahaban Quarry site and whilst there are places where the density of artefacts is greater, there are no areas that can be clearly identified as stone technology working areas. The MSA manufacturing debris and the presence of both initial early flaking as well as prepared core preparation and rejuvenation suggests that hominins came to the site in anticipation of being able to source and work local materials here. It seems reasonable to believe that wave action or water flow in the wadi was sufficient on occasion to crack the large boulders of the Al Birk lava flows, providing hominins with a flat surface from which to start flaking.

### 7.4.2.3 Hajambar Quarry (WP304/L0094)

The quarry north of Hajambar (WP304/L0094), identified as containing wadi overbank sediments overlying lava in June 2012 (Devès et al. 2012) and subsequently sampled for palaeoenvironmental analyses in November 2012 (Bailey et al. 2012), was re-visited in order to sample the section for OSL dating. This resampling was, however, hampered by the recent flooding of the quarry that has submerged at least half of the previously observed sequence. Two OSL samples (<572> and <591>) were removed from the upper part of the wadi sediments after cleaning back the accessible deposits.

### 7.4.2.4 Al Moalmat Rock Art (L0044)

During the 2013 survey, an area of basalt lava flow adjacent to Wadi Shafqah, beyond the northernmost edge of the Harrat Al Birk was identified as containing extensive rock engravings (Inglis et al. 2013). In 2014 the area, Al Moalmat, was revisited, and further panels were identified over at least a kilometre. The engravings include abstract forms as well as hands, feet, paw prints and figures (Figure 7.24). Detailed recording and analysis of the art will be coordinated by the staff of the SCTA office in Abha.

[Figure 7.24 around here]

## 7.5 Summary and Conclusions

In conclusion, fieldwork by the DISPERSE project from 2012 to 2014 has significantly expanded our understanding of the Palaeolithic occupation of the Jizan and Asir regions, and has demonstrated the massive potential for the area to inform debates surrounding the dispersal of hominin populations from Africa during the Pleistocene.

## Acknowledgements

We thank HRH Prince Sultan bin Salman bin Abdul Aziz, President of the Saudi Commission for Tourism and Antiquities (SCTA), KSA, Professor Ali Al-Ghabban, Vice-President, and Jamal Al Omar, Director General for granting fieldwork permission and for their interest in and support of our work in Saudi Arabia. Grateful thanks are also extended to Mr Saeed Al Karni, Director of Antiquities in Asir, and Mr Haider Al Mudeer Director of the Sabiya Museum, as well as the staff of the SCTA offices in Abha, Jizan and Sabiya. The work is funded by the European

Research Council (ERC) under the Ideas Programme of the 7th Framework Programme as Advanced Grant 269586 ‘DISPERSE: Dynamic Landscapes, Coastal Environments and Human Dispersals’.

The field team included: Geoff Bailey, Niklas Hausmann, Robyn Inglis, Matthew Meredith-Williams (University of York, UK); William Budd, Andrew Shuttleworth, Anthony Sinclair (University of Liverpool, UK); Abdullah Alsharekh, Saud Al Ghamdi, Abdarrazzaq Al Maamary (King Saud University, KSA); Saeed Al Karni, Director of Antiquities, Asir, Saeed Abu Mater, Abha, Faia Asiri, Abha, Hussein Mofareh, Sabiya, Aied Al Hmaed, Dawasir, Abdulaziz As Salman, Riyadh, Abdu Aqeeli, Farasan (Saudi Commission for Tourism and Antiquities, KSA); Bassam Al Hilal, Mohammed Al Halwi National Museum, Riyadh, KSA (National Museum, Riyadh, KSA), This is DISPERSE contribution no. 53.

## References

- Armitage, S.J., Jasim, S.A., Marks, A.E., Parker, A.G., Usik V.I., Uerpmann H-P. 2011. The Southern Route “Out of Africa”: evidence for an early expansion of modern humans into Arabia. *Science* 331: 453–6.
- Bailey, G.N, Alsharekh, A., Flemming, N., Lambeck, K., Momber, G., Sinclair, A., Vita-Finzi, C. 2007. Coastal prehistory in the southern Red Sea Basin: underwater archaeology and the Farasan Islands. *Proceedings of the Seminar for Arabian Studies* 37: 1–16.
- Bailey, G.N., Inglis, R.H., Meredith-Williams, M.G., Hausmann, N., Alsharekh, A.M., Al Ghamdi, S. 2012. Preliminary Report on Fieldwork in the Farasan Islands and Jizan Province by the DISPERSE project, November-December 2012. Unpublished Report to the Saudi Commission for Tourism and Antiquities.
- Bailey, G.N., Meredith-Williams, M.G.M., Alsharekh, A. 2013. Shell mounds of the Farasan Islands, Saudi Arabia. In G. Bailey, K. Hardy, A. Camara (eds) *Shell Energy: Mollusc Shells as Coastal Resources*. OxBow: Oxford, pp. 241–54.
- Barham, L., Mitchell, P.J. 2008. *The First Africans*. Cambridge University Press: Cambridge.
- Crassard, R., Hilbert, Y.H. 2013. A Nubian Complex site from Central Arabia: implications for Levallois taxonomy and human dispersals during the Upper Pleistocene. *PLoS One*, 8(7).
- Dabbagh, A., Emmermann, R., Hötzl, H., Jado, A.R., Lippolt, H. J., Kollman, W.,

Moser, H., Rauert, W., Zötl J.G. 1984. The development of Tihamat Asir during the Quaternary. in A.R. Jado and J.G. Zötl, eds., Quaternary Period in Saudi Arabia Volume 2: Sedimentological, Hydrogeological, Hydrochemical, Geomorphological, Geochronological and Climatological Investigations in Western Saudi Arabia. Springer-Verlag: Vienna, pp 150–73.

- Delagnes, A., Tribolo, C., Bertran P., et al. 2012. Inland human settlement in southern Arabia 55,000 years ago. New evidence from the Wadi Surdud Middle Paleolithic site complex, western Yemen. *Journal of Human Evolution* 63: 452–74.
- Devès, M., Inglis, R.H., Meredith-Williams, M.G., Alsharekh, A., Al Ghamdi, S., Bailey, G.N. 2012. Preliminary Report of Reconnaissance Fieldwork in Southwest Saudi Arabia, May–June, 2012. Unpublished Report to the Saudi Commission for Tourism and Antiquities.
- Devès, M.H., Inglis, R.H., Meredith-Williams, M.G., Al Ghamdi, S., Alsharekh, A., Bailey G. 2013. Palaeolithic survey in southwest Saudi Arabia: methodology and preliminary results. *Adumatu* 27: 7–30.
- Groucutt, H.S. Petraglia, M.D. 2012. The prehistory of the Arabian peninsula: Deserts, dispersals, and demography. *Evolutionary Anthropology* 21 (3): 113–25.
- Inglis, R.H., Sinclair, A.G.M., Shuttleworth, A., Alsharekh, A.M., Al Ghamdi, S. 2013. Preliminary Report on 2013 Fieldwork in Southwest Saudi Arabia by the DISPERSE Project: (2) Jizan and Asir Provinces, February-March 2013. Unpublished Report to the Saudi Commission for Tourism and Antiquities.
- Inglis, R.H., Sinclair, A., Shuttleworth, A., Alsharekh, A., Al Ghamdi, S., Devès, M., Meredith-Williams M.G., Bailey, G.N. 2014. Investigating the Palaeolithic landscapes and archaeology of the Jizan and Asir Regions, Southwest Saudi Arabia. *Proceedings of the Seminar for Arabian Studies* 44: 193–212.
- Lambeck, K., Purcell, A., Flemming, N.C., Vita-Finzi, C., Alsharekh, A.M., Bailey G.N. 2011. Sea level and shoreline reconstructions for the Red Sea: isostatic and tectonic considerations and implications for hominin migration out of Africa. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 30 (25-26): 3542–74.
- Petraglia, M.D., Alsharekh, A.M., Crassard, R., Drake, N.A., Groucutt, H., Parker, A.G., et al. 2011. Middle Paleolithic occupation on a Marine Isotope Stage 5 lake-shore in the Nefud Desert, Saudi Arabia. *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 30(13-14), 1555–1559.
- Rose, J., Usik, V., Marks, A., Hilbert, Y., Galletti, C., Parton, A., Geiling, J.M., Cerný,

- V., Morley, M., Roberts, R.G. 2011. The Nubian complex of Dhofar, Oman: an African-Middle Stone Age industry in southern Arabia. *PLoS ONE* 6 (11): 1–22.
- Wilkins, J., Chazan, M. 2012. Blade production 500 thousand years ago at Kathu Pan 1, South Africa: support for a multiple origins hypothesis for early Middle Pleistocene blade technologies. *Journal of Archaeological Science*, 39(6), 1883–1900.
  - Zarins, J., Whalen N.M., Ibrahim, I., Morad A, et al. 1980. Comprehensive Archaeological Survey Program: preliminary report on the Central Southwestern Provinces survey. *Atlal* 4: 9–117.
  - Zarins, J., Murad, A. & Al-Yaish, K. 1981. The Second Preliminary Report on the Southwestern Province. *Atlal* 5: 9–42.

## Figures




Figure 7.1: Map of locations showing localities visited by DISPERSE in 2013 and 2014 in Jizan and Asir regions. Elevation data © CGIAR-CSI SRTM 90m v4.1 database.




Figure 7.2: Map showing northern Jebel Akwah cinder cone, and the localities visited in 2013 and 2014 and major geomorphological features. L0061-63 and L0077 were visited in 2014. Inset shows overview of Jebel Akwah. Satellite imagery © DigitalGlobe 2014, accessed through Google Earth. Imagery Date 3/6/2014.



Figure 7.3: Satellite image of the southern cinder cone of Jebel Akwah showing its relationship to Wadi Sabiya, which runs NE–SW across the figure, identifying the localities visited during 2014 and discussed in the text. The grey areas to the centre and centre left of the photo show the extent of exposed volcanic tuff. Image © Digital Globe, accessed through Google Earth. Imagery Date 29/12/2013.






Figure 7.4: Quarry section at L0020, near Wadi Sabiya. The section shows a cross-section through a wadi channel, with gravel terraces incised by a channel, later filled by a sandy channel fill. A worked clast (Figure 7.5) was recovered from the left hand gravel terrace (blue circle). Dating samples were taken from the channel fill for OSL (yellow circles), and a sample of tuff was taken from the top of the section (red rectangle). Photo: R. Inglis.



Figure 7.5: Worked shale clast from L0020 section. Photo: A. Shuttleworth.




Figure 7.6: Locations of sites visited around the Abu Arish lava flows and upper coastal plain. The extensive lava flows are visible to the West of Magmatic Line and the Wadi Jizan Dam Lake, the latter in the lower centre of the figure. To the North and East of the lake, the exposed schistic basement is visible as a purple colour. Satellite Imagery © USGS Landsat ETM+ 2000 Geocover Mosaics.




Figure 7.7: Section through fine-grained floodplain sediments under lava flow at L0064. Figure is indicating where discoidal flake was found embedded in section. Photo: G. Bailey.




Figure 7.8: Discoidal flake from section under lava at L0064. Photo: A. Shuttleworth.




Figure 7.9: A view from L0006 looking East across Wadi Jizan Dam Lake. Inset: MSA prepared core flakes located at L0006. Photos: A. Sinclair.



Figure 7.10: Landscape at L0060, with low jebels and small sediment basins formed by incision of the schistic bedrock. Photo taken looking East towards Jebel Fayfa. Photo: R. Inglis.



Figure 7.11: View across L0080 showing jebels of schistic bedrock. The wadi flows from left to right, marked by the dense vegetation behind the vehicle, to flow through the jebels at the right of the panorama. The pale alluvium is visible in the centre of the photo. Photo: R. Inglis.



Figure 7.12: Weathered quartzite/sandstone handaxe from base of small quarry at L0074. Photo: R. Inglis.



Figure 7.13: Deflating shell midden at L0065. Photo: R. Inglis.



Figure 7.14: Locations of sites along the Harrat Al Birk coast. The dark green areas correspond to the extent of the lava flows, and the red areas to cinder cones. Satellite Imagery © USGS Landsat ETM+ 2000 Geocover Mosaics.



Figure 7.15: Aerial view of L0089 beach and fossil coral deposits. Image © CNES/Astrium, accessed through Google Earth. Imagery Date 19/1/2014.



Figure 7.16: Basalt lithics from L0089 including a failed MSA prepared core. Photo scale is 30 cm. Photo: R. Inglis.



Figure 7.17: Stretch of exposed beach rock extending for over 1 km at L0092. Figure circled for scale. Inset: exhausted MSA basalt core. Photos: R. Inglis.





Figure 7.18: Test pit through shell-midden deposit on coral terrace at L0078. Photo: R. Inglis.




Figure 7.19: Aerial view of Dhahaban Quarry, L0034, showing key geomorphological units at the locality. The circle indicates location of stratified lithics. The square indicates location of sampling of beach and shallow marine deposits for OSL dating. Image © DigitalGlobe accessed through Google Earth. Imagery Date 21/9/2009




Figure 7.20: a) A coral terrace towards the North of the locality, dissected by bulldozing. Scale is 10 cm b) Section through marine deposits in main quarry sampled for OSL dating. Scale is 30 cm. Photos: R. Inglis.



Figure 7.21: View of wadi section at L0034 containing wadi cobble unit with embedded lithics, overlain by beach sands and eroding aeolianite. This exposure was photographed in detail for high-resolution 3D reconstruction using photogrammetry Photo: R. Inglis.



Figure 7.22: Embedded lithic 2152 before (left) and after (right) removal from the wadi cobble unit. Photos: A. Sinclair.



Figure 7.23: Hajambar Quarry in 2014 following flooding. The previously sampled section through the laminated wadi overbank sediments is behind the semi-submerged tree. The section cleaned and sampled for OSL dating is visible at the centre right of the photo. Photo: R. Inglis.



Figure 7.24: Petroglyph at Al Moalmat (L0044) on columnar basalt showing figure with curved dagger. Figure is approximately 40 cm high. Photo: R. Inglis.